UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,337	06/23/2006	Thomas Schuster	12604/24	1948
26646 KENYON & K	7590 08/19/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADV	VAY	PHAM, EMILY P		
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2838	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/584,337	SCHUSTER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Emily Pham	2838			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 8-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 8-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 6/23/2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the orecast.	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. accepted or b)⊠ objected to by t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/15/2009.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/584,337 Page 2

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 6/15/2009 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 8-10, 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Zafarana et al (USP 6,078,203).

Regarding claim 8: AAPA (Specification, lines 5-13 of page 1) discloses a converter comprising: a device adapted to sense currents fed to an electric motor powered by the converter, the device arranged inside the converter, an additional filter that is connected to an analog-to-digital converter. AAPA does not disclose a nonlinear filter and output signals of nonlinear filter are fed to an additional filter. Zafarana et al (FIG 3) teaches it is well known in the art to feed the output signals of a nonlinear filter

Art Unit: 2838

(7) to a linear filter (2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the nonlinear filter and a linear filter in the motor control system disclosed by AAPA, as taught by Zafarana et al, for the purpose of controlling gain of the transmitted signals within desired values (Zafarana et al., Abstract).

Page 3

Regarding claim 9: AAPA (FIG 1) discloses the analog-to-digital converter (page 2, lines 28-31) is integrated in one of (a) a microcontroller and (b) a microprocessor (2) (item 2 of FIG 1 and item 2 of FIG 2 are not different because they are labeled the same; applicant argued on page 3 of Applicant Arguments/Remarks that a microprocessor can include an integrated analog to digital converter; it is also well known in the art to have analog to digital converter included in microprocessor).

Regarding claim 10: Zafarana et al (FIG 1) teaches the nonlinear filter includes a run-up transmitter (integrator 8; transmitter is a device for transmitting signals, integrator 8 has input error signal ERR and output signal ERRm therefore it is considered as a device for transmitting signals).

Regarding claim 12: AAPA (Specification, lines 5-13 of page 1) discloses the additional filter includes a low-pass filter.

Regarding claim 13: AAPA in view of Zafarana et al discloses the claimed invention except for a value corresponding to a rated current of the converter is attainable for the run-up transmitter in a time between 5 and 10 µs. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

achieve a value corresponding to a rated current of the converter for the run-up transmitter in a time between 5 and 10 μ s, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F. 2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Regarding claim 14: AAPA in view of Zafarana et al discloses the claimed invention except for the PT1 filter has a time constant having a value one of (a) between 15 and 25 µs and (b) approximately 20 µs. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the PT1 filter with a time constant having a value one of (a) between 15 and 25 µs and (b) approximately 20 µs, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. It has also been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F. 2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

4. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Zafarana et al (USP 6,078,203) and further in view of Goldberg (USP 3,714,470).

Regarding claim 11: AAPA in view of Zafarana et al discloses claimed invention except for the run-up transmitter including a comparator and an integrator. Goldberg (FIG 1) teaches it is well known in the art for the run-up transmitter (Variable Duty Cycle Signal Generator) including a comparator (16) and an integrator (14).

Art Unit: 2838

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the Variable Duty Cycle Signal Generator in the motor control system disclosed by AAPA in view of Zafarana et al, as taught by Goldberg, for the purpose of maintaining linear change of output signal in triangular shape (Goldberg, Abstract).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 5/29/2009 with respect to claims 8 and 9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emily Pham whose telephone number is (571)270-3046. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu (7:00AM - 6:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jayprakash Gandhi can be reached on (571) 272 - 3740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,337 Page 6

Art Unit: 2838

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jessica Han/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2838 August 2009

EP